

Neilreichia: Guidelines for Reviewers

The maximum period of time between manuscript submission and final decision should not exceed six months.

Authors are advised to have their manuscripts checked by a native speaker before submission. Manuscripts that don't meet linguistic standards will be rejected without review, but may be resubmitted at a later point. The same holds true for manuscripts not following the guidelines and style of the journal such as the correct citation of references. Reviewers are not expected to make such corrections, as this is the responsibility of the authors. However, useful hints are welcome.

Authors will receive the reviewed manuscripts along with the evaluation form and one of the following specifications:

- Accepted
- Minor revision
- Major revision
- Rejected

Manuscripts submitted for review and publication to the journal **Neilreichia** (except the floristic data compilation "New floristic records from Austria" edited by series editors, book reviews, recommended literature, the annual report of the activities of the Society, tributes and obituaries) undergo single-blind reviews of at least two reviewers. In case of short (less than 6 pages, i.e. short communications), straight forward and less complex manuscripts, one reviewer may be sufficient. If desired by the reviewer, his/her name can be acknowledged in the imprint on p. 2 without indicating which manuscript he/she was reviewing. The default reviewing process, however, is anonymous. The final decision on any manuscript is made exclusively by the Editors.

Journal homepage: http://www.flora-austria.at/neilreichia_EN.html